Amy L. Norgard Truman State University anorgard@truman.edu

**CAMWS Kitchener** 2<sup>nd</sup> Session, Section G: Roman Satire April 6, 2017

From Plume to Palate: A Feast for the Senses in Horace's *Satires* Book 2

1. The "slender diet" (victus tenuis, S. 2.2.53, 70; see also ervum tenue, 2.6.117)

A. Hor. S. 2.2.116-22<sup>1</sup> (speech of rustic sage, Ofellus) 'non ego' narrantem 'temere edi luce profesta quidquam praeter holus fumosae cum pede pernae. at mihi seu longum post tempus venerat hospes sive operum vacuo gratus conviva per imbrem vicinus, bene erat non piscibus urbe petitis, sed pullo atque haedo; tum pensilis **uva** secundas

et nux ornabat mensas cum duplice ficu.'

He said: "On an ordinary day I do not rashly eat anything except vegetables with a foot of smoked ham. And whether a guest has come after a long time, or a welcome neighboring dinner companion has come to me, freed from work because of the rain, it is not acceptable to eat fish ordered from the city, but rather chicken and goat. Then hanging grapes and nuts with a split fig decorate the dessert course."

120

B. Hor. S. 2.6.63-4 (at Horace's Sabine farm) o quando **faba** Pythagorae cognata simulque uncta satis pingui ponentur holuscula lardo?

> O when will my beans, relatives to Pythagoras, be served, along with my little vegetables sufficiently rubbed with rich lard?

# 2. Hor. S. 2.2.23-30

A. Vix tamen eripiam posito pavone velis quin hoc potius quam gallina tergere palatum, corruptus vanis rerum, quia venerat auro 25 rara avis et picta pandat spectacula cauda, tamquam ad rem attineat quidquam. num visceris ista quam laudas pluma? cocto num adest honor idem? carne tamen quamvis distat nihil, hanc magis illa? 30 imparibus formis deceptum te patet.

Nevertheless, if a peacock were served, I would scarcely doubt your desire to brush your palate with this rather than a chicken. You have been corrupted by vanities since this rare bird has come at the price of gold and creates a spectacle with its colorful tail - as if this fact mattered at all. Surely you don't eat those very feathers that you praise? When cooked, is its beauty still present? Although there is no difference in the flesh, you still prefer the peacock to the chicken? It is clear you have been deceived by their unequal appearance.

3. The senses at the satiric table

A. Hor. S. 2.2.35 (sight) ducit te **species**, **video** ... 35

I see it's the visual appeal that draws you in ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Text of *Satires* 2 from Muecke 1993. All translations are my own.

## B. Hor. S. 2.2.19-20 (smell)

... non in **caro nidore** voluptas summa, sed in te ipso est.

20

the highest pleasure is not in a pleasing smell, but in you yourself.

### 4. Xen. Mem. 1.3.5

σίτω μεν γαρ τοσούτω έχρητο, όσον ήδεως ήσθιε· καὶ έπὶ τοῦτο οὕτω παρεσκευσμένος ἤει, ώστε τὴν έπιθυμίαν τοῦ σίτου ὄψον αὐτῷ εἶναι.

For he ate as much food as he could eat with pleasure; and he was so prepared for eating that he considered desire for food to be the best sauce.

7

### 5. Hor. S. 2.2.1-4, 7

A. Quae virtus et quanta, boni, sit vivere parvo ... discite. 1-4

Learn, my good friends, what virtue and how great a virtue it is to live within small means.

- B. ... verum hic **impransi mecum disquirite**.
  - ... Rather here, unlunched, join me in investigating.
- 6. The motif *cibus interruptus* 
  - A. Hor. S. 2.6.111-12

... cum subito ingens

valvarum **strepitus** lectis excussit utrumque

When suddenly a **loud crash** of the doors shook each mouse from his seat.

#### B. Hor. S. 2.8.93-5

... quem nos sic fugimus ulti

ut nihil omnino gustaremus, velut illis

Canidia afflasset peior serpentibus Afris.

We fled him [Nasidienus], getting revenge by tasting nothing at all as though Canidia, worse than African serpents, had breathed upon the food.

## **Select Bibliography**

Bakhtin, M. M. 1984. Rabelais and His World (trans. H. Iswolsky). Bloomington, IN.

Bartsch, S. 2015. Persius: A Study in Food, Philosophy, and the Figural. Chicago.

Bradley, M. ed. 2014. Smell and the Ancient Senses. New York.

Bramble, J. C. 1974. Persius and the Programmatic Satire: A Study in Form and Imagery. Cambridge, MA.

Braund, S. M. and Gold, B. K. eds. 1998. "Vile Bodies: Roman Satire and Corporeal Discourse." Arethusa 31.3.

Butler, S. and Purves, A. eds. 2013. Synaesthesia and the Ancient Senses. Durham, UK.

Freudenburg, K. 1993. The Walking Muse. Horace on the Theory of Satire. Princeton.

———. 2001. Satires of Rome. Threatening poses from Lucilius to Juvenal. Cambridge.

———. ed. 2005. The Cambridge Companion to Roman Satire. Cambridge.

Gowers, E. 1993. The Loaded Table: Representations of Food in Roman Literature. Oxford.

Muecke, F. ed. 1993. Horace: Satires II. Warminster.

Porter, J. I., ed. 1999. Constructions of the Classical Body. Ann Arbor, MI.

Potter, D. 1999. "Odor and Power in the Roman Empire." In Porter 1999: 169-89.

———. 2015. "The Scent of Roman Dining." In Bradley 2015: 120-32.

Stevens, B. 2008. "The Scent of Language and Social Synaesthesia at Rome." CW 101.2: 159-171.

Toner, J. ed. 2014. A Cultural History of the Senses in Antiquity. New York.