Democracy Rising: Gender and Genos in the Not-so-Minor Characters of Sophocles' Antigone

In two centuries of post-Hegel Antigone criticism, few have paid any interest to Antigone's sister Ismene or Creon's son Haemon. Those who do tend to figure the "minor" characters as representing political or gender normativity. I argue instead that Ismene and Haemon each transgress conventions of gender and class offered by their more studied counterparts, and embody a more compassionate kind of democratic citizenship. Through these not-so-minor characters, Sophocles exposes, and critiques, the limitations of a political order shaped by such rigid social roles.

For some, *Antigone* dramatizes the divide between $oi\kappao\varsigma$ and $\pi \delta\lambda\iota\varsigma$ between an elite, enslaving female and an elite, enslaving male: Antigone fights to perform customary funeral rites for her dead brother, while Creon subordinates his family to his power and his political edict. Antigone, however, is far more concerned with $\alpha i\mu \alpha$ [blood] and the fact that she is $\varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ [well-born] than she is concerned with the household. For Antigone, burying Polyneices is about honoring her noble origin—her $\gamma \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma$ —and ensuring the house of Oedipus is not disgraced (further than it already has been, that is).

This essay will explore how Sophocles challenges intersecting notions of gender and class that define femininity by its distance from the $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$ and masculinity by its proximity through the characters of Ismene and Haemon. Though Antigone does transgress by speaking and acting in the $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$, through her language she establishes and defends an apolitical—literally, $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\delta\lambda\iota\varsigma$ (370)—devotion to her $\gamma \acute{e}vo\varsigma$ and constructs her identity as a woman by her connections to family, both dead and alive. For Antigone, family is determined by a nexus of terms, including $\varphi \iota \lambda i \alpha$ [affectionate regard; friendship], $\varphi \acute{o} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ [nature], $\alpha i \mu \alpha$ [blood], $\tau \grave{o} \kappa \circ \iota v \acute{o} v$ [the common], and vóµoç [custom; law]. To show how Ismene departs from Antigone in her gender performance, I will track how Ismene makes use of these words and their cognates to a different end from Antigone. Ismene never even obliquely references some words (γ ένος, α ίµ α) and she posits a separate, more democratic understanding of φύσις, νόµος, and τὸ κοινόν: e.g., her φύσις [nature] is not as a member of the polluted house of Oedipus, but as a woman in the Theban social order; and νόµος is not household custom, but the law. Ismene thus positions herself as in support of the city rather than the γένος.

After discussing how Ismene constructs her gender identity through more democratic language, I will turn to Haemon, who poses a parallel challenge to his father Creon's notion of a $\pi \delta \lambda \varsigma$ -centered elite masculinity. In *Antigone*, Creon warns his son about the danger of an "evil wife [$\gamma vv\eta \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta$]" (651) and extols the virtue of obedient children (642), who might help their father, and their $\pi \delta \lambda \varsigma$ most of all. Family, however, is not seen in such utilitarian terms by Haemon. He loves Antigone, and would rather act in accordance with $\gamma \epsilon vo \varsigma$ -centered principles than remain loyal to the city. Unlike in Ismene, Sophocles does not articulate the corresponding difference in Haemon through the aforementioned terms. Rather, it is Haemon's final act killing himself, so that he might be wedded to Antigone in death—that responds to his father's anti-oł̃koς kind of masculinity.

Both Ismene and Haemon distinguish themselves from Antigone and Creon most clearly in the way loyalty to either the $\gamma \acute{e} vo \varsigma$ or the $o i \acute{k} o \varsigma$ shapes their identity. I will thus trace how each character understands relationships, both those that are consanguine and those that are more social, to make sense of their intersecting performances of gender and class. It becomes clear that Sophocles uses minor characters to subvert the limited gender performances of Antigone and Creon. Far from representing "conventional femininity," masculinity, and so too nobility, Ismene and Haemon actually respond to their counterparts, and challenge ideas of gender that align women with the household and men with the city. In portraying two characters who gesture toward less limited kinds of gendered and classed citizenships, Sophocles envisions a more democratic social order.

Bibliography

- Butler, Judith. *Antigone's Claim: Kinship between Life and Death*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2002.
- Goldhill, Simon. "Antigone and the Politics of Sisterhood." In Laughing with Medusa:
 Classical Myth and Feminist Thought ed. Miriam Leonard and Vanda Zajko (pp. 142-162). Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006.
- Griffith, Mark. "Antigone and Her Sister(s): Embodying Women in Ancient Greek Tragedy."
 In Making Silence Speak: Women's Voices in Greek Literature and Society ed. André
 Lardinois and Laura McClure (pp.117-136). Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001.

Honig, Bonnie. Antigone, Interrupted. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

- Miller, Peter. "Destabilizing Haemon: Radically Reading Gender and Authority in Sophocles" Antigone." Helios 41:2 (2014): 163-185.
- Steiner, George. Antigones: How the Antigone Legend has Endured in Western Literature, Art, and Thought. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1984.